BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 04856 6459

CIVIL LAW: INTRODUCTION TO THE LAW OF OBLIGATIONS

Volume II

2000/2001

Professor Catherine Valcke
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
JAN 30 2004
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CIVIL LAW: INTRODUCTION TO THE LAW OF OBLIGATIONS

Volume II

2000/2001

Professor Catherine Valcke
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/civillawintroduc02valc_0

INTRODUCTION TO THE LAW OF OBLIGATIONS

CONTENTS

VOLUME II

Note: Materials preceded by a ""mark are not reproduced in the casebook, but should be read in the order suggested.*

III. DELICTUAL AND QUASI-DELICTUAL OBLIGATIONS

*Arts. 1457-1481, 1607-1621 C.C.Q.

1. Duty and Fault

Lawson, F.H., Negligence in the Civil Law, pp.27-8, 29-32, 33-4.....1

Lawson, F.H., "The Comparison" in European Studies in Law, vol. 5, pp.220-238 5

Arts. 1054.1-1056, 1074-75 C.C.L.C.....16

Castel, J.-G., The Civil Law System of the Province of Quebec, (1962) pp. 402-408.....17

Lapierre v. Attorney General of the Province of Quebec, [1985] 1 R.C.S. 24.....24

Questions.....33

2. Causation and Damages

von Mehren, The Civil Law System, pp. 358-60 34

Castel, J.-G., The Civil Law System of the Province of Quebec, (1962) pp. 488-504, 506-08 36

Elliot v. Entreprises Côte-Nord, [1976] C.A. 584 50

Parrot v. Thompson, [1984] 1 S.C.R. 57, 57-64 53

Atiyah, "The Binding Nature of Obligations" in Harris and Tallon, eds., Contract Law Today: Anglo-French Comparisons, (1989) pp.74-76 58

Laferrièrre v. Lawson, [1991] 123 N.R. 325 60

Lawson, F.H. "The Comparison" in European Studies in Law, vol.5, pp.217-19 80

Questions 82

IV. **CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

1. **Form and Consent**

Lawson, F.H., A Common Lawyer Looks at the Civil Law, pp. 113-35, 148-52 83

Pothier, A Treatise on Obligations, pp. 3-7 102

von Mehren, The Civil Law System, pp. 466-474 107

Kant, I., The Doctrine of Right (Gregor transl.), pp. 92-93 112

Arts. 1101-1107 C.N. 114

Arts. 982-990 C.C.L.C. 115

Arts. 1025, 1472 C.C.L.C. (see p.108 in vol I.)

Clendenning v. Cox, (1916) 49 C.S. 71 116

Wright, "Ought Consideration to Be Abolished?"49 Harv. L. Rev. 1225 (1936), pp. 1237-38 124

Buckland and McNair, Roman Law and Common Law, pp. 171-77 125

Lawson, F.H., A Common Lawyer Looks at the Civil Law, pp. 157-61 128

Royal Institution for the Advancement of Learning v. P. Lyall and Sons Co. [1937] I-62 B.R. 125 130

Shalev, G., "Third Party Beneficiary: A Comaparative Analysis", (1976) 11(3) Israel Law Review 315 132

*Arts. 1371-72, 1377-97, 1409-1415, 1433-1434, 1439, 1440-1450, 1708, 1710, 1712 C.C.Q.

Questions 140

2. Meeting of the Minds: Objective or Subjective Theory?

Zimmerman, The Law of Obligations, pp. 559-569, 583-620 142

von Mehren, The Civil Law System, pp. 493-494 167

Arts. 1108-1110 C.N. , Arts. 991-992, 1000 C.C.L.C. 168

Nicholas, The French Law of Contract, pp. 83-100 169

Huot v. Ouellet, [1981] C.S. 872 178

*Arts. 1386, 1399-1401, 1407 C.C.Q.

Questions 182

3. Deflects and Limits of Consent

Rouhette, G., "The Obligatory Force of Contract in French Law" in Harris and Tallon, eds., Contract Law Today: Anglo-French Comparisons, (1989) pp.38-70. 183

a) "Imprévision"

Zimmerman, The Law of Obligations, pp.806-815 200

de Lamberterie, I., "The Effect of Changes in Circumstances on Long-Term Contracts – French Report" in Harris and Tallon, eds., Contract Law Today: Anglo-French Comparisons, (1989), pp.220-34. 205

Castel, J.-G., The Civil Law System of the Province of Quebec, (1962), pp. 328-333, 375-379. 213

Lawson, F.H., "The Comparison" in European Studies in Law, vol. 5, pp. 347-64. 221

*Arts. 1600, 1693, 1694, 1425, 1430, 1433-1452 C.C.Q.

Questions 229

b) "Lésion"

Zimmerman, The Law of Obligations, pp. 255-70, 166-77 230

Hegel, Philosophy of Right, §§ 76-77,63 245

Nicholas, The French Law of Contract, pp. 136-141 247

Arts. 1118, 1305-1309, 1311-1314, 1674-1681 C.N. 250

Société économique de Rennes v. Picard Cass. Dec. 28, 1932, D.1933. I. 87.....252

Dlle. Jeanne Ricordeau v. Dame Houdin George *et al.* in deVries, Civil Law and the Anglo-American Lawyer, p.403. 253

Castel, J.-G., The Civil Law System of the Province of Quebec, (1962) pp. 297-98 254

Arts. 1001-1012, 1040a-1040e, 1149 C.C.L.C. 255

Boutin v. Corporation de finance Belvédère, [1970] C.A. 389, as edited in Tancelin, pp.64-6 257

Consumer Protection Act, sections 1-65 (1979) 259

*Arts. 1378-84, 1404 -1408, 1609, 2332, 424, 472 C.C.Q.

Questions 271

c) Penalty Clauses

Marsh, "Penal Clauses in Contracts: A Comparative Study"32 J. Comp. Legis, & Int'l L. 66 (1950), pp. 66-8, 72 272

Arts. 1226, 1152, 1231, C.N. (pre-1975), and by-law 75-597 274

Arts. 1076-1078.1, 1131-1137 C.C.L.C. 275

*Arts 1600, 1617-1620, 1622-25, C.C.Q.

Questions 276

d) "Ordre public et bonnes moeurs"

Zimmerman, The Law of Obligations, pp. 697-715 277

Arts. 13, 15, 989-90, 1080, 1509, C.C.L.C. 287

Gualtieri, "The Legal Concept of Public Order" (1951) Thémis 155 288

Challies, "Good Morals" (1952) Thémis 77 292

Renaud v. Lamothe, (1902) S.C.C. 357 295

Klein v. Klein, [1967] C.S. 300 302

Canadian Factors Corp. Ltd. v. Cameron, [1966] B.R. 921 305

Garcia Transport Ltée v. Royal Trust Co., [1992] 2 S.C.R. 499 321

*Arts. 9, 19, 20, 25, 541, 631, 1373, 1410-11, 1413, 1801 C.C.Q.

Questions 329

V. QUASI -CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Zweigert and Kötz, Introduction to Comparative Law, pp. 575-604 330

Challies, The Doctrine of Unjust Enrichment in the Law of the Province of Quebec (excerpts) in Castel, The Civil Law System of the Province of Quebec pp. 381-400 346

Cie Immobilière Viger Ltée v. La Giguère Inc., (1977) 2 S.C.R. 67 366

New York Central System v. Sparrow, (1957) B.R. 808 375

Arts 1041-1052, 1715-16 C.C.L.C. 379

*Arts 1482-1496 C.C.Q.

Questions 381